

Heavy-ion hot hadron matter freezes out at $T = 170 - 320$ MeV?

Volodymyr Vovchenko^{1,2} and Horst Stoecker^{1,3,4}

¹ Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Goethe Universität Frankfurt, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

² Taras Shevchenko National University of Kiev, 03022 Kiev, Ukraine

³ Institut für Theoretische Physik, Goethe Universität Frankfurt, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁴ GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany

(Dated: February 3, 2016)

The conventional hadron-resonance gas (HRG) model with the Particle Data Group (PDG) hadron input and the hadron type dependent eigenvolume corrections is employed to fit the ALICE hadron mid-rapidity yield data on the most central Pb+Pb collisions. For the case of the point-like hadrons a well-known fit result of $T \sim 155$ MeV is reproduced. However, the situation changes if hadrons have different eigenvolumes. In the case when all mesons are point-like while all baryons have a hard-core radius of 0.3 fm the temperature dependence of the χ^2 has a broad minimum in the temperature range of 155 – 210 MeV, with fit quality comparable to the $T \sim 155$ MeV minimum in the point-particle case. When we apply the eigenvolume corrections with the mass-proportional eigenvolume $v_i \sim m_i$, fixed to the particular proton hard-core radius r_p , we observe a second minimum in the temperature dependence of the χ^2 , located at the significantly higher temperatures. For instance, at $r_p = 0.5$ fm the fit quality is better than in the point-particle HRG case in a very wide temperature range of 170 – 320 MeV, with the global minimum located at $T \simeq 275$ MeV and $\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}} \simeq 1.5$. These results show that the extracted value of the chemical freeze-out temperature is very sensitive to the modeling of the short-range repulsion between hadrons, and that it cannot be extracted with high reliability from the LHC hadron yield data. Implications for the ongoing ALICE heavy-ion run at 5.02 TeV are discussed.

PACS numbers: 25.75.Ag, 24.10.Pa

Keywords: hadron yields, hadron resonance gas, hadron eigenvolumes, chemical freeze-out temperature

The thermodynamic models are among the most valuable tools in the modern-day high energy physics, and have long been employed to estimate the temperatures reached in the relativistic heavy-ion collisions [1–3]. The hadron-resonance gas model and its modifications have been rather successfully used to extract the chemical freeze-out properties of matter created in heavy-ion collisions, by fitting the rich data on mean hadron multiplicities in various experiments, ranging from the low energies at SchwerIonen-Synchrotron (SIS) to the highest energy of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [4–11]. In a realistic HRG model one has to take into account the attractive and repulsive interactions between hadrons. It has been argued [12], that the inclusion into the model of all known resonances as free non-interacting (point-like) particles allows to effectively model the attraction between hadrons. This formulation, a multi-component point-particle gas of all known hadrons and resonances, is presently the most commonly used one in the thermal model analysis. At the highest collision energies available at the LHC the asymmetry in production of particles and anti-particles becomes vanishingly small, which implies that the (baryo)chemical potential μ_B is close to zero, and the hadron yield ratios are determined by a single parameter, the chemical freeze-out temperature

T .¹

In order to take the short-range repulsive interactions into account, the eigenvolume correction of the van der Waals type was included into hadronic equation of state first in Refs. [13–15]. The most commonly used eigenvolume model was formulated in Ref. [16], and later extended to the multi-component case in Ref. [17]. The eigenvolume HRG model has been successfully compared with the lattice QCD data at lower temperatures [18, 19], and a combined HRG + perturbative QCD fit to the lattice data was shown to prefer hadrons with finite eigenvolume over point-like hadrons [20]. Moreover, with the appropriate choice of the hadron eigenvolumes, one can describe the lattice data purely with the eigenvolume HRG model even at temperatures beyond 200 MeV [21].

In the multi-component eigenvolume model [17] one has to solve the transcendental equation for the pres-

¹ We do not consider here possible under- or over-saturation of the light and/or strange quarks which would introduce the additional parameters.

sure, which reads as

$$P(T, \mu) = \sum_i P_i^{\text{id}}(T, \mu_i^*), \quad (1)$$

where the sum goes over all hadrons included in the set, $P_i^{\text{id}}(T, \mu_i^*)$ is the pressure of the ideal (point-like) Fermi or Bose gas at the corresponding temperature and chemical potential, and $\mu_i^* = \mu_i - v_i P(T, \mu)$. The v_i is the eigenvolume parameter of the hadron species i , and the number density of these species can be calculated as

$$n_i(T, \mu) = \frac{n_i^{\text{id}}(T, \mu_i^*)}{1 + \sum_j v_j n_j^{\text{id}}(T, \mu_j^*)}. \quad (2)$$

In our analysis we include the established strange and non-strange hadrons listed in the Particle Data Tables [22], along with their decay branching ratios. This includes mesons up to $f_2(2340)$ and (anti)baryons up to $N(2600)$. Their inclusion, however, was checked to have a minor effect on our results. The finite width of the resonances is taken into account in the usual way, by adding the additional integration over their Breit-Wigner shapes in the point-particle gas expressions. Additionally, we also consider the case when the finite width of the resonances is neglected. The feed-down from decays of the unstable resonances to the total hadron yields is included in the standard way.

The thermal fits within the point-particle HRG formulation performed within the different codes have consistently yielded the chemical freeze-out temperature of $T \simeq 155$ MeV [23–26] for the most central Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV. These analyses, however, either neglect the short-range repulsive interactions between the hadrons, or use the same eigenvolumes for all hadrons. The eigenvolume corrections can significantly reduce the densities [27, 28], and, thus, increase the total system volume at the freeze-out compared to a point-particle gas at the same temperature and chemical potential. This correction, however, has a very small effect on the hadron yield ratios, and, thus, on the extracted freeze-out temperature, in the cases when the eigenvolumes of different hadrons are (nearly) identical. On the other hand, if one considers hadrons with the different hard-core radii, then the hadron yield ratios change, and the extracted temperature (and the chemical potential) may become different compared to the point-particle case [29].

In the present analysis we employ two different parametrizations of the hadron eigenvolumes. In the

first case we assume that all mesons are point-like, i.e. $v_M = 0$, and that all baryons have a fixed finite eigenvolume $v_B > 0$. In the second case we employ a bag-model inspired parametrization with the hadron eigenvolume proportional to its mass through a bag-like constant, i.e.

$$v_i = m_i/\varepsilon_0. \quad (3)$$

Such eigenvolume parametrization had been obtained for the heavy Hagedorn resonances, and was used to describe their thermodynamics [13, 15] as well as their effect on particle yield ratios [30]. In the absence of the detailed knowledge regarding the different hadron-hadron interactions, we adopt this parametrization for all hadrons. Note that the HRG with eigenvolume corrections given by (3) have recently been used to model the hadronic part of the crossover QCD equation of state, which compares favorably to the lattice data [20]. It was mentioned in the Ref. [31] that such parametrization would lead to the increase of the freeze-out temperature, but that it does not entail an improvement of the fit quality. We shall verify this statement here for the LHC energies. We also note that the eigenvolume for the resonances with the finite width is assumed to be constant for each resonance, and is determined by its pole mass.

We perform the thermal fit to the midrapidity yields of the charged pions, charged kaons, (anti)protons, Ξ^- , Ξ^+ , Ω , $\bar{\Omega}$, Λ , K_S^0 , and ϕ , measured by the ALICE collaboration in the 0-5% most central Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV [32]. Note that the centrality binning for Ξ and Ω hyperons is different from the other hadrons. Thus, we take the midrapidity yields of Ξ and Ω in the 0 – 5% centrality class from Ref. [26], where they were obtained using the interpolation procedure. Our own implementation of the HRG model is used in the analysis. Additionally, we reproduce the obtained results within the publicly-available THERMUS-2.3 package [33], which includes the implementation of the eigenvolume model given by the Eqs. (1) and (2).

Figure 1 shows the dependence of the χ^2/N_{dof} of the fit on the temperature for three cases: the gas of point-particle hadrons, i.e. for $v_i = 0$, the two-component eigenvolume HRG model with the point-like mesons and the baryons of fixed size, and the bag-like eigenvolume HRG model, with the hadron eigenvolume proportional to its mass. In the second case the hard-core radius of baryons is fixed at $r_B = 0.3$ fm, while in the third case the bag-like constant in Eq. (3) is fixed in order to reproduce the hard-




Figure 1: (Color online) The temperature dependence of χ^2/N_{dof} of fit to ALICE data on hadron yields in 0-5% most central Pb+Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV within the point-particle HRG model (solid black curve), the two-component eigenvolume HRG model with point-like mesons and baryons of fixed size (dashed green line), and the bag-like eigenvolume HRG model (dotted blue line). In the second case the effective hard-core radius of baryons is fixed at $r_B = 0.3$ fm, while in the third case the bag-like constant in Eq. (3) is fixed in order to reproduce the effective hard-core proton radius of 0.5 fm.

core proton radius of 0.5 fm.² At each temperature the only remaining free parameter, namely the system volume (radius) per unit slice of midrapidity, is fixed to minimize the χ^2 at this temperature.

The three considered cases give drastically different pictures. For the point-particle HRG we obtain a narrow minimum around $T \simeq 154$ MeV with $\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}} \simeq 30.1/10$. If the finite width of resonances is neglected one gets a smaller $\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}} \simeq 20.7/10$ with essentially the same value of temperature. The extracted temperature is consistent with the previous studies dealing with fits to the ALICE hadron yields [24, 25]. The presence of the finite eigenvolume generally increases the freeze-out temperature and improves the fit quality. The case with point-like mesons ($r_M = 0$ fm) and baryons of finite size ($r_B = 0.3$ fm) yields a broad (double) minimum at 155 – 210 MeV in the temperature dependence of the χ^2 (see dashed green line in Fig. 1). The global minimum is located at $T \simeq 163$ MeV with $\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}} \simeq 25.6/10$. In gen-

eral, the fit quality stays comparable to the point-particle case in the whole 155 – 210 MeV temperature range. We note that the thermodynamic functions of the eigenvolume HRG given by the Eqs. (1) and (2) with $r_M = 0$ fm and $r_B = 0.3$ fm were compared in Ref. [18] to the lattice QCD data at temperatures up to $T = 190$ MeV, and a fairly good agreement was obtained. While we do not necessarily require that the lattice gauge theory can be directly projected on the heavy ion data we note that our $T = 155 - 210$ MeV ALICE fit with $r_M = 0$ fm and $r_B = 0.3$ fm is consistent with the lattice data. The fit result is rather sensitive to the choice of baryon radius r_B . For example, for $r_B = 0.35$ fm there is a narrower minimum around $T \simeq 173$ MeV in the temperature dependence of the χ^2 while for $r_B = 0.25$ fm there are two local minima, at $T \simeq 159$ MeV and at $T \simeq 234$ MeV.

Inclusion of a bag-like eigenvolume with $r_p = 0.5$ fm leads to even more dramatic changes in the χ^2 profile. It is seen from Fig. 1 that the temperature dependence of the χ^2 has a rather complicated two-minimum structure, and the data is described better than at the best fit in the point-particle ($r_p = 0$ fm) case in a very wide 170 – 320 MeV temperature range. The global minimum is located at $T = 274$ MeV with $\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}} \simeq 15.1/10$. It is interesting that this temperature is very close to the $T_c \simeq 270$ MeV temperature of the first-order phase transition in the pure SU(3) Yang-Mills theory [35]. It has been argued that this theory is relevant for the description of the evolution of the initially pure glue matter created in the heavy-ion collisions [36]. We also note that, at the global minimum, the total system volume (radius) per unit slice of midrapidity, decreases somewhat, from $R = 10.8$ fm at $r_p = 0$ fm to $R = 9.8$ fm at $r_p = 0.5$ fm. In general, the inclusion of eigenvolume leads to the increase of volume at the same temperature compared to point-particle case. In our case, however, the temperature is essentially larger for $r_p = 0.5$ fm case and for this reason the volume is decreased. The same picture is obtained if the finite width of the resonances is neglected.

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the ALICE data and the HRG model fit to the hadron yields for the point-particle and the bag-like cases. It is seen that the eigenvolume HRG model describes considerably better the yields of pions and protons than the point-like HRG, while the quality of description of other yields remains very similar. In general, the deviations of all considered yields from the data does not exceed 2σ for $r_p = 0.5$ fm. The improvement of the description of the low p/π ratio here is due to the

² Hadron eigenvolume parameter v_i and its hard-core radius r_i are related to each other as $v_i = 4 \cdot 4\pi r_i^3/3$. This relation can be rigorously obtained for the low-density gas of hard spheres (see e.g., Ref. [34]).




Figure 2: (Color online) (a) Hadron yields in the 0-5% most central Pb+Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV measured by the ALICE collaboration, and calculated within the point-particle HRG model (solid black curve) and the bag-like eigenvolume HRG model (dotted blue line). In the latter case the bag-like constant is fixed in order to reproduce the hard-core proton radius of 0.5 fm. (b) The normalized deviation of the hadron yields calculated within the HRG from the ALICE data.

eigenvolume effects only, and is not related to other proposed explanations, such as the incomplete hadron spectrum [24, 37], the chemical non-equilibrium at freeze-out [23, 38, 39], or the post-freeze-out hadronic reactions [26, 40]. The hadron yield ratios given by the eigenvolume HRG model at $T = 274$ MeV and $r_p = 0.5$ fm is also our prediction for the data which will be collected during the ongoing ALICE heavy-ion run at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV.

In our calculations we have included hadrons with

masses only up to $N(2600)$. It would seem that at temperatures beyond 200 MeV the more heavier resonances will play a major role and that they cannot be neglected. Note, however, that in the bag-like EV model the eigenvolume of the hadron is proportional to its mass, thus, the heavier hadrons are more strongly suppressed than the lighter ones. In Figure 3 the temperature dependence of the relative contribution of the heavy hadrons with different lower mass cutoffs to the total density of all hadrons is




Figure 3: (Color online) The temperature dependence of the relative contribution of the heavy hadrons with different lower mass cutoffs to the total density of all hadrons for the point-particle HRG (black lines) and for the bag-like HRG with $r_p = 0.5$ fm (blue lines).

shown for the point-particle HRG and for the bag-like HRG with $r_p = 0.5$ fm. For the point-particle case a rapid increase of the heavy hadrons fraction is seen above $T = 160$ MeV, showing signs of Hagedorn divergence. A similar picture would emerge for HRG with hadrons of finite but identical eigenvolume. For the bag-like case the role of heavy hadrons is clearly suppressed at high temperatures due to their large eigenvolumes, and their fraction never exceeds the one at $T = 155$ MeV for the point-particle HRG. From this we conclude that the uncertainties introduced by using the incomplete spectrum of heavy resonances in bag-like HRG at high temperatures does not exceed ones for the point-particle HRG at $T = 155$ MeV. In order to additionally check the sensitivity of the results to the choice of the hadron spectrum, we have performed the same procedure but including only the hadrons with masses up to the mass of the Ω baryon. We have also performed the analysis using the particle table from THERMUS-3.0, which also includes charm. Additionally, we studied influence of the inclusion of the σ ($f_0(500)$) and κ ($K_0^*(800)$) mesons. It has been pointed out that their inclusion into HRG should be treated with care [41, 42]. In all cases we have obtained similar χ^2 profile to the one shown in the Fig. 1: for the point-particle HRG the χ^2 is minimized at $T \simeq 155$ MeV, while the finite eigenvolume with $r_p = 0.5$ fm gives a better fit quality in a wide $170 - 320$ MeV temperature range.

The bag-like ($r_p = 0.5$ fm) fit is characterized by the increasing with temperature packing fraction η

(fraction of total volume occupied by hadrons of finite size) and, therefore, increasing role of the eigenvolume effects. At $T = 270$ MeV the packing fraction is equal to $\eta \simeq 0.15$, a value where van der Waals equation already deviates noticeably from the equation of state of hard spheres. While we do not require hadrons to behave exactly like non-deformable hard spheres this does mean that at such high packing fractions the behavior of thermodynamic quantities may depend strongly on the way eigenvolume corrections are modeled (see, e.g., Fig. 1 in Ref. [28]). The high-temperature part of the fit is also plagued by the superluminal behavior of the speed of sound, namely $c_s^2 \sim 1$ for $T > 250$ MeV. The superluminal speed of sound is a known problem of the EV model, and avoiding it completely would require a modification of the model. For these reasons it can also be useful to consider more complicated formulations of the EV model in order to check the robustness of the results at high ($T > 250$ MeV) temperatures. These, for instance, can either treat differently the correlations between hadrons of different size [43, 44], or go beyond the van der Waals low-density extrapolation, thus, avoiding the acausality problem until much higher temperatures [28, 45, 46]. While we expect the formulation given by Eqs. (1) and (2) to capture all the essential features of the multi-component eigenvolume gas, a more precise study on this subject could require a careful examination of the different eigenvolume models.

In the context of the obtained results it is also important to carefully analyze at which temperatures and to what extent the QCD thermodynamics can be described in terms of the hadronic degrees. It has been suggested that the HRG contributes appreciably to the total QCD pressure at temperatures $T \lesssim 450$ MeV [47], and the eigenvolume HRG model given in Eqs. (1) and (2) alone describes sufficiently the lattice data even at temperatures above 200 MeV [21], albeit with a somewhat different (smaller) value of r_p .

In the present work we do not attempt to pinpoint the accurate value of the chemical freeze-out temperature, or to determine the hadron eigenvolumes. To the contrary, the results presented here prove that one cannot extract the “hadronization temperature” (or the chemical freeze-out temperature) with high reliability from the LHC heavy-ion data. It can be interesting to perform a similar analysis on the data at lower energies, such as RHIC and SPS. There the finite (baryo)chemical potential will play an additional role.

In summary, the data of the ALICE collabora-

tion on the mid-rapidity yields of hadrons in central Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV is analyzed within the HRG model with excluded volume effects using two drastically different eigenvolume parametrizations. It is found that a two-component eigenvolume HRG model with point-like mesons and baryons with hard-core radius of $r_B = 0.3$ fm describes the data in a rather wide temperature range of 155-210 MeV, with fit quality comparable to the point-particle case. The eigenvolume model with the mass-proportional eigenvolume corrections ($r_i \sim m_i^{1/3}$), fixed to a proton hard-core radius of 0.5 fm, describes the data better than the conventional ideal HRG model in a very wide temperature range of 170-320 MeV. These results indicate that the chemical freeze-out temperature cannot be accurately determined from the LHC heavy-ion data on hadron yields. It is predicted that a similar picture emerges at the higher collision energies, and, thus, needs to be taken into account in the future analysis of the data which will be obtained during the ongoing ALICE heavy-ion run at 5.02 TeV.

Acknowledgements. We are thankful to A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Cleymans, M.I. Gorenstein, J. Rafelski, Nan Su, and A. Tawfik for fruitful comments and discussions. This work was supported by HIC for FAIR within the LOEWE program of the State of Hesse.

-
- [1] H. Stoecker, A. A. Ogloblin, and W. Greiner, Z. Phys. A **303**, 259 (1981).
 - [2] J. J. Molitoris, D. Hahn, and H. Stoecker, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **15**, 239 (1985).
 - [3] D. Hahn and H. Stoecker, Phys. Rev. C **35**, 1311 (1987).
 - [4] J. Cleymans and H. Satz, Z. Phys. C **57**, 135 (1993).
 - [5] J. Cleymans, K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5284 (1998).
 - [6] J. Cleymans, K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 054908 (1999).
 - [7] F. Becattini, J. Cleymans, A. Keranen, E. Suhonen, and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 024901 (2001).
 - [8] P. Braun-Munzinger, D. Magestro, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **518**, 41 (2001).
 - [9] F. Becattini, M. Gazdzicki, A. Keranen, J. Manninen, and R. Stock, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 024905 (2004).
 - [10] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A **772**, 167 (2006).
 - [11] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **673**, 142 (2009).
 - [12] R. Dashen, S.-K. Ma, and H. J. Bernstein, Phys. Rev. **187**, 345 (1969).
 - [13] R. Hagedorn and J. Rafelski, Phys. Lett. B **97**, 136 (1980); R. Hagedorn, Z. Phys. C **17**, 265 (1983).
 - [14] M. I. Gorenstein, V. K. Petrov, and G. M. Zinovjev, Phys. Lett. B **106**, 327 (1981).
 - [15] J. I. Kapusta and K. A. Olive, Nucl. Phys. A **408**, 478 (1983).
 - [16] D. H. Rischke, M. I. Gorenstein, H. Stoecker, and W. Greiner, Z. Phys. C **51**, 485 (1991).
 - [17] G. D. Yen, M. I. Gorenstein, W. Greiner, and S. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. C **56**, 2210 (1997).
 - [18] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, and M. Winn, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 80 (2012).
 - [19] V. Vovchenko, D. V. Anchishkin, and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024905 (2015).
 - [20] M. Albright, J. Kapusta, and C. Young, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 024915 (2014); Phys. Rev. C **92**, 044904 (2015).
 - [21] V. Vovchenko and H. Stoecker, to be published.
 - [22] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
 - [23] M. Petrán, J. Letessier, V. Petráček, and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 034907 (2013).
 - [24] J. Stachel, A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and K. Redlich, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **509**, 012019 (2014).
 - [25] M. Floris, Nucl. Phys. A **931**, 103 (2014).
 - [26] F. Becattini, E. Grossi, M. Bleicher, J. Steinheimer, and R. Stock, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 054907 (2014).
 - [27] V. V. Begun, M. Gazdzicki, and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 024902 (2013).
 - [28] D. Anchishkin, V. Vovchenko, J. Phys. G **42**, 105102 (2015).
 - [29] G. D. Yen and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 2788 (1999).
 - [30] J. Noronha-Hostler, H. Ahmad, J. Noronha, and C. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 024913 (2010).
 - [31] F. Becattini, J. Manninen, and M. Gaździcki, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 044905 (2006).
 - [32] B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **88**, 044910 (2013); B. B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 222301 (2013); B. B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **728**, 216 (2014); B. B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024609 (2015).
 - [33] S. Wheaton, J. Cleymans, and M. Hauer, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180**, 84 (2009).
 - [34] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics (Oxford: Pergamon) 1975.
 - [35] S. Borsanyi, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, and K. K. Szabo, JHEP **1207**, 056 (2012).
 - [36] H. Stoecker *et al.*, J. Phys. G **43**, 015105 (2016), arXiv:1509.00160 [hep-ph].
 - [37] J. Noronha-Hostler, M. Beitel, C. Greiner, and I. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 054909 (2010); J. Noronha-Hostler, C. Greiner, Nucl. Phys. A **931**, 1108 (2014).
 - [38] V. Begun, W. Florkowski, and M. Rybczynski, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 014906 (2014); Phys. Rev. C **90**, 054912 (2014).

- [39] V. Vovchenko, M. I. Gorenstein, L. M. Satarov, I. N. Mishustin, L. P. Csernai, I. Kisel, and H. Stoecker, *Phys. Rev. C* **93**, 014906 (2016).
- [40] F. Becattini, M. Bleicher, T. Kollegger, T. Schuster, J. Steinheimer, and R. Stock, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 082302 (2013).
- [41] W. Broniowski, F. Giacosa, and V. Begun, *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, 034905 (2015).
- [42] J. R. Pelaez, arXiv:1510.00653 [hep-ph].
- [43] M. I. Gorenstein, A. P. Kostyuk and Y. D. Krivenko, *J. Phys. G* **25**, L75 (1999).
- [44] K. A. Bugaev, D. R. Oliinychenko, A. S. Sorin, and G. M. Zinovjev, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **49**, 30 (2013).
- [45] D.V. Anchishkin, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **75**, 195 (1992) [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **102**, 369 (1992)]; D. Anchishkin, E. Suhonen, *Nucl. Phys. A* **586**, 734 (1995).
- [46] L. M. Satarov, K. A. Bugaev and I. N. Mishustin, *Phys. Rev. C* **91**, 055203 (2015).
- [47] T. S. Biro and A. Jakovac, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 094029 (2014).